Several species, among them our most common starfish (Asterias rubens), I have been unable to examine. The material of some of the species was rather scanty and was from a single locality only. This was the case with Benthopecten spinosus and Dytaster agassizi. The specimens examined of these two species (see the table, p. 402) have a disc-radius of $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. and $6-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. respectively $*$, and seem to belong to the same year-class (group II.). The question regarding the age of the starfishes therefore needs further investigation.

## XL.-Synopsis of the American Species of Rana. By G. A. Boulenger, F.R.S.

(Published by permission of the Trustees of the British Nuseum.)
I. Toes pointed or with slightly swollen tips.
A. Glandular dorso-lateral fold absent or flat and ill-defined, or, if narrow and prominent, not extending to the hip; tympanum at least $\frac{2}{3}$ diameter of eye, usually much larger, especially in males; tibia $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to 4 times as long as broad; toes $\frac{3}{4}$ to entirely webbed; outer metatarsals separated by web nearly to the base; nasal bones in contact with each other or narrowly separated.

1. Nale with internal rocal sacs; dorso-lateral fold absent or flat and very indistinct.
Vomerine teeth between the choanæ (rarely just behind them) ; first finger as long as or a little longer than second ; tibiotarsal articulation reaching tympanum or eje; heels meeting or slightly overlapping when the hind limbs are folded at right angles to the body; tibia $2 \frac{{ }^{\frac{1}{0}}}{}{ }^{\circ}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times iu length from snont to rent ; tip of fourth toe free; no dorso-lateral fold
R. catesbiana, Shaw.

Vomerine teeth between the choanæ; first finger a little shorter than second; tibiotarsal articulation reaching tympanum

[^0]or eye; heels meeting or narrowly separated; tibin $2 \cdot \mid$ to $2 \frac{2}{3}$ times in length from snout to vent; web extending to tip of fourth toe ; no dorso-lateral foth.
Vomerine tooth on a lovel with posterior borders of choane, or juist behind them; first and second fingers equal; tibio-tarsal articulation reaching eye; heels meeting or slightly overlapping; tibir 2 to $=\frac{1}{-3}$ times in length from snout to vent ; one or two phalanges of fourth too free; dorso-lateral fold present or absent

R. grylio, Stejn.

......

Ii. septentrionalis, Baird.

2. Male with internal rocal sacs; dorso-lateral fold usually very distinct; vomerine teeth between choane or just behiud them; first finger as long as or a little longer than second; tibio-tursal articulation reaching eye or between eye and tip of snont: heels overlappine : tibia $l_{4}^{4}$ to $2 \frac{1}{1}$ times in length from snout to rent; one or two plalanges of fourth toe free.
Dorso-lateral fold not oxtending beyond
sacral region............................. In. clamitans, Daud.
Dorso-lateral fold extending beyoud sacral region
R. onca, Cope.
3. Male with external rocal sacs; tympanum not larger than eye; first finger as long as or slightly longer than second; heels meeting or not.
Head as long as broad; tibio-tarsal articula-
tion reaching tympunm ; tibia $? \frac{2}{5}$ to $2 \frac{2}{3}$
times in length from shont to rent; two
phalanges of fuurth toe free; $n o$ dorso-
lateral fold
R. virgatipes, Сope.

Head broader than long; tibio-tarsal atti-
culation reaching tympanum or eye;
tibia 2 to $\frac{21}{3}$ times in longth from snont to rent; fourth toe webled to the tip, or la-t phalanx free; usually $n$ wore or lesa distinct dorso-lateral foid
R. montezuma, Baird.
13. Glandular dorso-lateral fold very distinct, extending to the hip (exceptionally interrupted posteriorly); nasal bones widely separated from each other.

1. Outer metatarsals separated nearly to the base ; toes obtusely pointed, of to nearly entirely webbed ; tibio-tarsal articulation reachinr eye, tip of snont, or a little beyond; tibin $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as brond, $1_{3}^{2}$ to $2{ }_{1}{ }^{1} s$ times in length from snout to vent.
a. Male with rocal sacs.

Head as long as broad or a little broader or a little longer; interorbital space much narrower than upper eyelid; dorso-
lateral folds narrow or moderately broad, usually with interrupted folds or elongate warts between them ; outer metatarsal tubercle absent or very indistinct; male with external or internal yocal sacs,.............................
Head as long as broad; interorbital space much narrower than upper eyelid; dorso-lateral folds very broad, with a pair of similar folds between them; outer metatarsal tubercle usually present; male with internal rocal sacs ..
b. Male without rocal sacs.

Head broader than loug ; interorbital space as broad as or narrower than upper eyelid ; tympanum $\frac{2}{3}$ to once diameter of eye; outer metatarsal tubercle absent or very indistinct

R. halecina, L.

R. palustris, Leconte.
R. draytonii, B. \& G.

Head as long as broad or slightly broader than long; interorbital space narrower than npper eyelid; tympanum $\frac{2}{5}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ diameter of eye; a more or less distinct outer metatarsal tubercle

R. aurora, B. is G.

2. Web not penetrating beyond basal half of outer metatarsals.
a. Glandular dorso-lateral fold narrow or moderately broad; head moderately large; vomerine teeth on a level with or behind posterior borders of choane.
Tibio-tarsal articulation reaching tympanum or eye ; tibia 3 to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as broad, 2 to $2 \frac{1}{5}$ times in length from snout to vent ; toes $\frac{3}{4}$ to nearly entirely webbed; inner metatarsal tubercle $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{3}$ length of inner toe; male without rocal sacs
R. pretiosa, B. \& G.

Tibio-tarsal articulation reaching tympanum or eye; tibia 3 to 4 times as long as broad, $2 \frac{1}{5}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times in length from snout to rent ; toes $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ webbed; inner metatarsal tubercle $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ length of inner toe ; male with internal vocal sacs
R. cantalrigensis, Baird.

Tibio-tarsal articulation reaching beyond eye ; tibia 4 to 5 times as long as broad, $1 \frac{3}{5}$ to 2 times in length from snout to vent; toes $\frac{2}{3}$ to $\frac{8}{4}$ webbed; immer metatarsal tubercle $\frac{2}{5}$ to $\frac{3}{5}$ length of inner toe ; male with internal rocal sacs

R. silvatica, Leconte.

b. Glandular dorso-lateral fold broad ; vomerine teetl between the choanæ.
II and moderate, slightly broader than long,
3 to $3 \frac{1}{5}$ times in length to vent; loreal
regrion feebly oblique; tibio-tarsal articulation reaching eye; tibia 4$\}$ to 5 times as long as broad, $2 \frac{1}{7}$ to $2 \frac{1}{6}$ timen in leugth from snout to vent ; toes a webbed; male without rocal saes 1. golmani, Gthr. Head very large, as long as broad or a littlo bronder than long, 23 to 3 times in length to vent; lormal region very oblique; tibio-tarsal articulation renchintr anterior border of eye or betweon eye and noatril; tibia 4 to $\%$ times as long as broad, 1 a to nearly 2 times in length from snout to vent; toos $\frac{1}{3}$ wobbect: malo with extermal vocal sacs.
Head rery large, much broader than long, $-\frac{1}{2}$ to $: \frac{1}{7}$ times in length to vent; loreal region very oblique; tibio-tarsal artieulation renching tympamum or ore ; tibia 3 to $3 \frac{1}{2}$ times us long as broad, 2 to $-\frac{1}{2}$ times in length from snout to vent; toes $\frac{1}{2}$ webbed; male with extermal vocal saes

R. areolata, B. \& Cr.

IR. capito, Leconte.
II. Tous ending in rery small discs; outer metatarsals separated nearly to the base ; interorbital space equal to or a little less than breadth of upper eyelid; nasal bones widely separated from each other.
A. Loreal region moderately oblique; toes entirely webbed or two phalanges of fourth free.

1. Tips of fingers swollen; romerine teeth behind level of choanæ: tympanmm $\frac{2}{6}$ to $\frac{3}{6}$ diameter of eye; tibio-tarsal articulation reaching tip of snout or beyond; head broader than lung.

No dorso-lateral fold ; tympanum distinct; lienls not overlapping; tibia $1_{5}^{5}$ to 2 times in length from snout to vent; no vuter metatarsal tuberele; male without
vocal saes.
R. tarahumare, Blgr:
12. boylzi, Baird.

A moderately prominent dorso-lateral fold, extending to the hip, its distance from its fellow, on the back, $3 \frac{1}{2}$ times in length from snout to vent: tympanum very distinct; hoels arerlaplinif; tibia $1 \frac{3}{6}$ times in length from snout to vent; no outer metatarsal tubercle

1. pustulosa, Blgr.
2. Tips of fingers obtuse or rather pointed; vomerine teeth between choanæ; tympanum $\frac{7}{2}$ to $\frac{5}{8}$ diameter of eye; tibiotarsal articulation reaching eye or tip of snout; tibia $1 \frac{3}{4}$ to $2 \frac{1}{7}$ times in length from snout to rent; dorso-lateral fold prominent, its distance from its fellow, on the back, 4 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ times in length from snout to rent; no outer metatarsal tubercle: head as long as broad or a little broader than long; male with internal rocal sacs .. R. palmipes, Spix.
B. Loreal region rertical or nearly so ; toes $\frac{2}{3}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ webbed; tips of fingers swollen; tympanum $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ diameter of eye ; tibiotarsal articulation reaching eve or between ese and tip of snout; heels overlapping ; tibia 5 to 6 times as long as broad, $1_{3}^{2}$ to 2 times in lenoth from shout to rent; dorso-lateral fold prominent, its distance from its fellow, on the back, 5 to 6 times in length from snout to vent; no outer netatarsal tubercle: head as long as broad or a little longer than broad; male without vocal sacs ......... R.crevuleopunctata, Stdr.
The American frogs all belong to the subgenus Rana, agreeing with the type-species, $R$. temporaria, L., in the structure of the pectoral arch (strong horizontal clavicles, omosternal style not forked at the base). I conceive the most primitive type as with large nasal bones in contact with each other and with the frontoparietals entirely covering the ethmoid ; pointed, fully webbed toes with the outer metatarsals separated by web to the base; a distinct tympanum; no glandular dorso-lateral fold *. I therefore regard the species grouped together in division I. A. of the above synopsis as nearest to this prototype; from this group I. B. 1. and II. seem to be directly and independently derived, probably also I. B. 2. b.; whilst I. B. 2. a. is obviously connected with I. P. 1. The species under Division İI. are furthest removed from the prototype; I see no reason for regarding $R$. boylii as mearly allied to the Rance temporaria, and it is connected with $R$. palmipes by R. pustulosa.

## 1. Rana catesbiana, Sliaw, 1802.

R. hsans (non L.), Lacep., 1788.-R. magiens, Merr., 18ミ0.-A. scapularis, pipiens, Harl., 1825.-R. conspersa, Leconte, 1855.
North America east of the Rocky Mountains, from Canada (Quebec, Ontario) to Florida and Texas.
2. Rana grylio, Stejneg., 1901.

Florida, Mississippi, and Louisiana.

[^1]
## 3. Runa septentriomalis, Baird, 1855.

1i. simututa, Bairl, leñ.
Southern Canada and New York to Montana and Utah.

## 1. Runa clamitans, Daud., 1801.

R. clamata, Daud.. 1403.-R. fomtimalis, Leconte, 18:5.-7R. flari-
 Agnas., 12.50.-TR nigroscens, clamator, Leconte, 18.j.j.-R.clamitans melanota, Rhoads, le:!.

North America, east of the Rocky Momntains, from Canada (Quebec, Ontario) to Florida and Louisiana.
5. Rana onca, Cope, 18 ت̃5.
R. iraytoni onca, ('ope, 1ms?)-R'. fischeri, Stejner., 1893.

Utah and Nevada.
6. Rana virgatipes, Cope, 1891.

New Jorsey (Atlantic City and Lakehurst) and North Carolina (Lake Ehlis).
7. Rana montezuma, Baird, 185̃5.
R. adtrita, Troschel, 1865.-I2. muntezumac concolor, Cope, 1857.

Plateau of Mexico, Tabasco, Tchuantepec.

## 8. Rana halecina, L., 1г66*.

R. pipiens, Schreb., 1-s.- TR. utricnlaria, Marl., 1825.-R. oxyrhynchus, Hallow., 1850. - le. berlandieri, Baird, 1859. - R. forveri, Bouleng., 18-3.- lh. virescens, Garm., 1884.-12. halccina sphenocephala, bruchycephata, anstricola, Cope, 1:86.-? R. trilobata, Mocquard, 18s!.-Ir. omiltemana, Giunth., 1900.

North America as far north as $52^{\circ}$, not extending west of the Sicrra Nevada, Mexico and Central America as far south as Costa Rica. Up to 8000 ft . altitude in Colorado, 8500 ft . in Mexico, 5000 ft in Costa Rica.

It may be possible to define three principal varicties : sphenocephala, Cope, forreri, Blgr., and austricola, Cope (lecontiz, Gthr., Brocchi, nigricans, Brocchi).

* 'This name, latinised by Linnacus from Lialu's 'Sillhoppertosser,' appears in the synonymy of $R$. ocelluth.

$$
\text { 9. Rana palustris, Leconte, } 1825 .
$$

R. pardalis, Harl., 1825.

North America, east of the Mississippi.
10. Rana draytonii, B. \& G., 1852.
R. lecontei, B. \& G., 1853.- R. nigricans, Hallow., 1854.-R. longipes, Hallow., 1859.-R. aurora draytonï, Camp, 1917.
Western North America, from British Columbia to the mountains of Lower California, up to 4000 ft . altitude.
11. Rana aurora, B. \& G., 1852.
R. temporaria aurora, Cope, 1883.-R. agilis aurora, Cope, 1886.

Washington Territory, Oregon, and California.
12. Rana pretiosa, B. \& G., 1853.
R. temporaria pretiosa, Cope, 1889.-R. pretiosa luteiventris, H. B. Thomps., 1913.
North America, from the Rocky Mountains westwards, from British Columbia to California.
13. Rana cantabrigensis, Baird, 1854.
R. cantabrigensis latiremis, evittata, Cope, 1886.

Western North America, from Alaska and Great Bear Lake to British Columbia, Alberta, Assiniboia, Manitoba, Minnesota, and Illinois.

## 14. Rana silvatica, Leconte, 1825.

R. pemsylvanica, Harl., 1825.

Eastern North America, from Manitoba, Ontario, and Quebec to South Carolina.
15. Rana godmani, Gthr., 1900.

Costa Rica (Rio Sucio).
I cannot help thinking that $R$. godmani will prove to be
identical with Levirana vibicaria, Cope, 1894. Except for the presence of feebly developed vomerine tecth, the longer inner finger, and the more extensive palmation of the toes in the former, there is almost complete agreement betwee n the descriptions of the two, which are from the same part of Costa Rica.

## 16. Rana arcolata, B. \& G., 1852 .

R. circulosa, liice \& Davis, 1878.

Indian:, Illinois, Georgia, Texas.

## 17. Rana capito, Leconte, 1855.

R. areolata cesopus, capito, Cope, 1886.

Gcorgia, Florida.

## 18. Rana taralumare, Blgr., 1917.

Sicrra Tarahumari, N.W. Mexico, about 3000 ft .
19. Rana boylii, Baird, 1854.
R. pachyderma, Cope, 1883.-R. boylii muscosa, sierre, Camp, 1917.

Oregon and Califormia, up to $11,500 \mathrm{ft}$. altitude.

$$
\text { 20. Rana pustulosa, Blgr., } 1883 .
$$

Ventanas in Durango, Mexico.

$$
\text { 21. Rana palmipes, Spix, } 1824 \text {. }
$$

R. juminenss, Tschidi, 1845.-Ramula gollmeri, Peters, 1859.-R. clamata, var. guianensis, I'eters, 1863.-Rumula afinis, Cope, 1866.Pohlia palmipes, Steind., 1867.-Ranula brevipalmatn, nigrilatus, Cope, 1874.-N. vaillanti, Brocchi, 1877. - Hylarana brevipalmata, Brocchi, 188‥-R. copii, Bouleng., 1882.-12. bonaccana, melanosoma, Günth., 1900--R. brevipalmata rhoadsi, Fowler, 1913.
Central and South America, from Southern Mexico to Pernambueo and Peru.

This frog is interesting as the only representative of the genus Rana in South America. lew species have been more misunderstood and have given rise to more discussion
than this $R$. palmipes, originally described from the Amazonian region of Brazil.

It has been made the type of a distinct genus (Ranula, Pohlia) by Peters and by Steindachuer, and even referred to the Hylidæ by Günther (1867). Peters founded the genus Ranula on the feeble dentition: "Die Zähne des Oberkiefers sind so schwach und wenig zahlocich dass man sie erst bei genauer Untersuchung findet und am Gaumen fehlen sie ganz." The explanation is that Ranula gollmeri was described from a recently transformed young, from Caracas, measuring 50 mm . from suout to veut; of this I feel sure, having examined young from Pebas of exactly the same size with a short tail and toothless upper jaw. A second, larger specimen, also from Caracas, was described at the same time by Peters as Rana affinis, and regarded as so closely related to R. temporaria that it should perhaps rank as a local variety only. A little later, however, Peters recognized that the two supposed species were identical and correetly referred them to $R$. palmipes. In 1866, Cope took up the genus Ramula and defined it as the American representative of Hylorana, differing in the "important particulars of the incompleteness of the ethmoid arch, its superior plate being represented by cartilage." Cope, who maintained the definition up to the close of his labours, can only lave examined young specimens, for in the adult the ethmoid is ossified exactly as in Rana temporaria.

Schlegel, Tischudi, and Duméril and Bibron referred $R$. palmipes to the synonymy of $R$. esculenta; Peters described another specimen as a variety of $R$. clamitans ; Brocchi's R.vaillanti was described as allied to $R$. muyiens; whilst Günther (1900), overlooking the small terminal dises of the toes, compared his $R$. bonaccana to $R$. clamitans and R. draytonii.

$$
\text { 22. Rana caruleopunctata, Stdr., } 1864 .
$$

Ramula caruleopunctata, chrysoprasina, Cope, 1866.-Hyıarana cceruleopunctata, Steind., 1867.-Trypheropsis chrysoprasinus, Cope, 1868.Hylarana chrysomrasina, Brocchi, 1882.

Nicaragua and Costa Rica, up to 4600 ft . altitude.


[^0]:    * The material of Benthopecten spinosus has not a maximum; of Dytaster agassizi, on the other hand, there is a marked maximum at $9-10 \mathrm{~mm}$, comprising 18 individuals (or 52.9 per cent. of the total number).

[^1]:    * Cf. Bull. Soc. Zool. France, 1918, p. 111.

